AMENDMENT TO THE RULES OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS

Pursuant to sections 101, 207, 215, 305, 3009, 3012-c and section 3012-d of the Education Law and Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

- 1. Subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (1) of section 100.2(o) of the Commissioner's regulations is amended, effective January 27, 2016, to read as follows:
- (ii) Annual review. The governing body of each school district and BOCES shall ensure that the performance of all teachers providing instructional services or pupil personnel services, as defined in section 80-1.1 of this Title, is reviewed annually in accordance with this subdivision, except evening school teachers of adults enrolled in nonacademic, vocational subjects; and supplementary school personnel, as defined in section 80-5.6 of this Title, and any classroom teacher subject to the evaluation requirements prescribed in [Subpart] Subparts 30-2 and 30-3 of this Title.
- 2. The title of Subpart 30-2 of the Rules of the Board of Regents is amended effective January 27, 2016, to read as follows:

SUBPART 30-2

ANNUAL PROFESSIONAL PERFORMANCE REVIEWS OF CLASSROOM
TEACHERS AND BUILDING PRINCIPALS CONDUCTED PRIOR TO THE 2015-2016
SCHOOL YEAR OR FOR ANNUAL PROFESSIONAL PERFORMANCE REVIEWS
CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO A COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT
ENTERED INTO ON OR BEFORE APRIL 1, 2015 WHICH REMAINS IN EFFECT ON
OR AFTER APRIL 1, 2015 UNTIL A SUBSEQUENT AGREEMENT IS REACHED

3. Subdivision (b) of section 30-2.1 of the Rules of the Board of Regents is amended, effective January 27, 2016, to read as follows:

- (b) For annual professional performance reviews conducted by school districts or BOCES [in] from the 2012-2013 school year [and any school year thereafter] through the 2015-2016 school year or for any annual professional performance review conducted pursuant to a collective bargaining agreement entered into on or before April 1, 2015 that remains in effect on and after April 1, 2015 until a successor agreement is reached, the governing body of each school district and BOCES shall ensure that the reviews of all classroom teachers and building principals are conducted in accordance with the requirements of section 3012-c of the Education Law and the provisions of this Subpart.
- 4. Subdivision (d) of section 30-2.1 of the Rules of the Board of Regents is amended, effective January 27, 2016, to read as follows:
- (d) Annual professional performance reviews of classroom teachers and building principals conducted pursuant to this Subpart shall be a significant factor for employment decisions, including but not limited to, promotion, retention, tenure determinations, termination and supplemental compensation, in accordance with Education Law §3012-c(1). Nothing in this Subpart shall be construed to affect the unfettered statutory right of a school district or BOCES to terminate a probationary teacher or principal for any statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons [other than the performance of the teacher or principal in the classroom or school,] including but not limited to misconduct, and until a tenure decision is made, the performance of the teacher or principal in the classroom or school. [For purposes of this subdivision, Education Law §3012-c(1) and (5)(b), performance shall mean a teacher's or principal's

overall composite rating pursuant to an annual professional performance review conducted under this Subpart.]

- 5. Subdivision (c) of section 30-2.11 of the Rules of the Board of Regents is amended, effective January 27, 2016, to read as follows:
- (c) Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter or diminish the authority of the governing body of a school district or BOCES to grant or deny tenure to or terminate probationary teachers or probationary building principals during the pendency of an appeal pursuant to this section for statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons [other than] including the teacher's or principal's performance that is the subject of the appeal.
- 6. A new section 30-2.13 of the Rules of the Board of Regents is added, effective January 27, 2016, to read as follows:
- §30-2.13. Challenges to State-Provided Growth Score Results for the 2014-2015

 School Year and Thereafter.
- (a) A teacher/principal shall have the right to challenge their State-provided growth score under this Subpart; provided that the teacher/principal provides sufficient documentation that he/she meets at least one of the following criteria in their annual evaluation:
- (1) a teacher/principal was rated Ineffective on his/her State-provided growth

 score and Highly Effective on the other measures of teacher/leader effectiveness

 subcomponent in the current year and was rated either Effective or Highly Effective on his/her State-provided growth score in the previous year; or

- (2) a high school principal of a building that includes at least all of grades 9-12, was rated Ineffective on the State-provided growth score but such percent of students as shall be established by the Commissioner in his/her school/program within four years of first entry into grade 9 received results on department-approved alternative examinations in English Language Arts and/or or mathematics as described in section 100.2(f) of this Title (including, but not limited to, advanced placement examinations, and/or International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.) scored at proficiency (i.e., a Level 3 or higher).
- (b) A teacher/principal shall submit an appeal to the Department, in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner, within 20 days of receipt of his/her overall annual professional performance review rating or the effective date of this section, whichever is later, and submit a copy of the appeal to the school district and/or BOCES. The school district and/or BOCES shall have ten days from receipt of a copy of such appeal to submit a reply to the Department.
- (c) Based on the documentation received, if the Department overturns a teacher's/principal's rating on the State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES shall substitute the teacher's/principal's results on the back-up SLO developed by the district/BOCES for such teacher/principal. If a back-up SLO was not developed, then the teacher's/principal's overall composite score and rating shall be based on the portions of their annual professional performance review not affected by the nullification of the State-provided growth score. Provided, however, that following a successful appeal under paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of this section, if a back-up SLO is used a teacher/principal shall not receive a score/rating higher than developing on such SLO.

- (d) An evaluation that is the subject of an appeal shall not be sought to be offered in evidence or placed in evidence in any proceeding conducted pursuant to Education

 Law sections 3020-a and 3020-b or any locally negotiated alternate disciplinary procedure until the appeal process is concluded.
- (e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter or diminish the authority of the governing body of a district to grant or deny tenure to or terminate probationary teachers or probationary building principals during the pendency of an appeal pursuant to this section for statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons, including the teacher's/principal's performance that is the subject of the appeal.
- (f) Nothing in this Subpart shall be construed to authorize a teacher/principal to commence the appeal process prior to receipt of his/her overall rating from the district/BOCES.
- (g) During the pendency of an appeal under this section, nothing shall be construed to alter the obligation of a school district/BOCES to develop and implement a teacher improvement plan or principal improvement plan during the pendency of an appeal.
- (h) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit any rights of a teacher/principal under section 30-2.11 of this Subpart.
- (i) Notwithstanding any other provision of rule or regulation to the contrary, a high school principal of a building that includes at least all of grades 9-12 who meets either of the criteria in paragraphs (1) or (2) of this subdivision shall not receive a State-provided growth score and shall instead use back-up SLOs:

- (1) the principal would be rated Ineffective or Developing on the State-provided growth score but the graduation rate of the students in that school building exceeded 90%, and the proportion of the student population included in either the ELA Regents Median Growth Percentile or the Algebra Regents Median Growth Percentile was less than ten percent of the total enrollment for the school; or the principal
- (2) has no Combined Median Growth Percentile rating or score, and the proportion of the student population included in the ELA Regents

 Median Growth Percentile and Algebra Regents Median Growth Percentile was less than five percent of the total enrollment for the school in one subject, and less than ten percent of the total enrollment in the other subject.
- (3) If a back-up SLO was not developed, then the principal's overall composite score and rating shall be based on the remaining portions of their annual professional performance review.
- 7. A new Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents shall be added, effective January 27, 2016, to read as follows:

SUBPART 30-3

ANNUAL PROFESSIONAL PERFORMANCE REVIEWS OF CLASSROOM

TEACHERS AND BUILDING PRINCIPALS FOR THE 2015-2016 SCHOOL YEAR AND

THEREAFTER

§30-3.1 Applicability.

(a) For annual professional performance reviews conducted by districts for the 2015-2016 school year and any school year thereafter, the governing body of each district shall ensure that the reviews of all classroom teachers and building principals

are conducted in accordance with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and this Subpart, except as otherwise provided in subdivision (b) of this section.

- (b) The requirements of Education Law §3012-c and Subpart 30-2 of this Part shall continue to apply to annual professional performance reviews conducted prior to the 2015-2016 school year and thereafter, where such reviews are conducted pursuant to a collective bargaining agreement entered into on or before April 1, 2015 that remains in effect on and after April 1, 2015 until entry into a successor agreement.
- (c) In accordance with Education Law §3012-d(12), all collective bargaining agreements entered into after April 1, 2015 shall be consistent with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and this Subpart, unless such agreement related to the 2014-2015 school year only. Nothing in this Subpart shall be construed to abrogate any conflicting provisions of any collective bargaining agreement in effect on and after April 1, 2015 during the term of such agreement and until entry into a successor collective bargaining agreement, provided that notwithstanding any other provision of law to the contrary, upon expiration of such term and the entry into a successor collective bargaining agreement, all the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and this Subpart shall apply.
- (d) Annual professional performance reviews of classroom teachers and building principals shall be a significant factor for employment decisions, including but not limited to, promotion, retention, tenure determination, termination, and supplemental compensation, in accordance with Education Law §3012-d(1). Such evaluations shall also be a significant factor in teacher and principal development, including but not limited to coaching, induction support, and differentiated professional development.

Nothing herein shall be construed to affect the unfettered statutory right of a district to terminate a probationary (non-tenured) teacher or principal for any statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons.

(e) The Board of Regents shall convene an assessment and evaluation
workgroup or workgroups, comprised of stakeholders and experts in the field to provide
recommendations to the Board of Regents on assessments and evaluations that could
be used for annual professional performance reviews in the future.

§30-3.2 Definitions. As used in this Subpart:

- (a) Approved teacher or principal practice rubric shall mean a rubric approved by the commissioner for inclusion on the State Education Department's list of approved rubrics in teacher or principal evaluations.
- (b) Approved student assessment shall mean a student assessment approved by the commissioner for inclusion in the State Education Department's lists of approved student assessments to measure student growth for use in the mandatory subcomponent and/or for use in the optional subcomponent of the student performance category.
- (1) Approved assessments in grades kindergarten through grade two.

 Traditional standardized assessments in grades kindergarten through grade two shall not be on the approved list. However, an assessment that is not a traditional standardized assessment shall be considered an approved student assessment if the superintendent, district superintendent, or chancellor of a district that chooses to use such assessment certifies in its annual professional performance review plan that the

assessment is not a traditional standardized assessment, and that the assessment meets the minimum requirements prescribed by the Commissioner in guidance.

- (c) Classroom teacher or teacher shall mean a teacher in the classroom teaching service as that term is defined in section 80-1.1 of this Title who is a teacher of record as defined in this section, except evening school teachers of adults enrolled in nonacademic, vocational subjects, and supplemental school personnel as defined in section 80-5.6 of this Title.
- (d) Common branch subjects shall mean common branch subjects as defined in section 80-1.1 of this Title.
- (e) Co-principal means a certified administrator under Part 80 of this Title, designated by the school's controlling authority to have executive authority, management, and instructional leadership responsibility for all or a portion of a school or BOCES-operated instructional program in a situation in which more than one such administrator is so designated. The term co-principal implies equal line authority, with each designated administrator reporting to a district-level or comparable BOCES-level supervisor.
- (f) Developing means an overall rating of Developing received by a teacher or building principal, based on the ratings an educator received in the student performance category and observation/school visit category pursuant to the matrix prescribed in section 30-3.6 of this Subpart.
- (g) District means school district and/or board of cooperative educational services, unless otherwise provided in this Subpart.

- (h) Effective means an overall rating of Effective received by a teacher or building principal, based on the ratings an educator received in the student performance category and observation/school visit category pursuant to the matrix prescribed in section 30-3.6 of this Subpart.
- (i) Evaluator shall mean any individual who conducts an evaluation of a classroom teacher or building principal under this Subpart.
- (i) Highly Effective means an overall rating of Highly Effective received by a teacher or building principal, based on the ratings an educator received in the student performance category and observation/school visit category pursuant to the matrix prescribed in section 30-3.6 of this Subpart.
- (k) Ineffective means an overall rating of Ineffective received by a teacher or building principal, based on the ratings an educator received in the student performance category and observation/school visit category pursuant to the matrix prescribed in section 30-3.6 of this Subpart.
- (I) Lead evaluator shall mean the primary individual responsible for conducting and completing an evaluation of a classroom teacher or building principal under this Subpart. To the extent practicable, the building principal, or his or her designee, shall be the lead evaluator of a classroom teacher in this Subpart. To the extent practicable, the lead evaluator of a principal should be the superintendent or BOCES district superintendent or his/her designee.
- (m) Leadership standards shall mean the Educational Leadership Policy

 Standards: ISLLC 2008 as adopted by the National Policy Board for Educational

 Administration (Council of Chief State School Officers, Washington DC, One

Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20001-1431; 2008- available at the Office of Counsel, State Education Department, State Education Building, Room 148, 89 Washington Avenue, Albany, New York 12234). The Leadership Standards provide that an education leader promotes the success of every student by:

- (1) facilitating the development, articulation, implementation, and stewardship of a vision of learning that is shared and supported by the school community;
- (2) advocating, nurturing and sustaining a school culture and instructional program conducive to student learning and staff professional growth;
- (3) ensuring management of the organization, operations and resources for a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment;
- (4) collaborating with families and community members, responding to diverse community interests and needs, and mobilizing community resources;
 - (5) acting with integrity, fairness, and in an ethical manner; and
- (6) understanding, responding to, and influencing the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context.
- (n) Principal shall mean a building principal or an administrator in charge of an instructional program of a board of cooperative educational services.
- (o) School building shall mean a school or program identified by its Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) code, as determined by the commissioner.
- (p) State approved student growth model means a statistical model that uses prior academic history, poverty, students with disabilities and English language learners, and any additional factors approved by the Commissioner to measure student growth.

- (q) State-designed supplemental assessment shall mean a selection of state tests or assessments developed or designed by the Department, or that the Department purchased or acquired from (i) another state; (ii) an institution of higher education; or (iii) a commercial or not-for-profit entity, provided that such entity must be objective and may not have a conflict of interest or appearance of a conflict of interest; and tests or assessments that have been previously designed or acquired by local districts, but only if the Department significantly modifies growth targets or scoring bands for such tests or assessments or otherwise adapts the test or assessment to the Department's requirements. Such assessments may only be used in the optional student performance subcomponent in order to produce a growth score calculated pursuant to a State-provided or approved growth model.
- (r) Student growth means the change in student achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time.
- (s) Student growth percentile score shall mean the result of a statistical model
 that calculates each student's change in achievement between two or more points in
 time on a State assessment or other comparable growth measure and compares each
 student's performance to that of similarly achieving students.
- (t) Student Learning Objective(s) (SLOs) are academic goals for an educator's students that are set at the start of a course, except in rare circumstances as defined by the Commissioner. SLOs represent the most important learning for the year (or semester, where applicable). They must be specific and measurable, based on available prior student learning data, and aligned to the New York State learning

standards, as well as to any other school and district priorities. An educator's scores are based upon the degree to which his or her goals were attained.

- (u) Superintendent of schools shall mean the chief school officer of a district or the district superintendent of a board of cooperative educational services, provided that in the case of the City School District of the City of New York, superintendent shall mean the Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York or his or her designee.
- (v) Teacher or principal state provided growth scores shall mean a measure of central tendency of the student growth percentile scores through the use of standard deviations and confidence ranges to identify with statistical certainty educators whose students' growth is well above or well below average compared to similar students for a teacher's or principal's students after the following student characteristics are taken into consideration: poverty, students with disabilities and English language learners.

 Additional factors may be added by the Commissioner, subject to approval by the Board of Regents.
- (w) Teacher(s) of record shall be defined in a manner prescribed by the commissioner.
 - (x) Teaching Standards are enumerated below:
- (1) the teacher acquires knowledge of each student, and demonstrates knowledge of student development and learning to promote achievement for all students;
- (2) the teacher knows the content they are responsible for teaching, and plans instruction that ensures growth and achievement for all students;

- (3) the teacher implements instruction that engages and challenges all students to meet or exceed the learning standards;
- (4) the teacher works with all students to create a dynamic learning environment that supports achievement and growth;
- (5) the teacher uses multiple measures to assess and document student growth, evaluate instructional effectiveness, and modify instruction;
- (6) the teacher demonstrates professional responsibility and engages relevant stakeholders to maximize student growth, development, and learning; and
- (7) the teacher sets informed goals and strives for continuous professional growth.
- (y) Testing standards shall mean the "Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing" (American Psychological Association, National Council on Measurement in Education, and American Educational Research Association; 2014-available at the Office of Counsel, State Education Department, State Education Building, Room 148, 89 Washington Avenue, Albany, New York 12234).
- (z) The governing body of each district shall mean the board of education of each district, provided that, in the case of the City School District of the City of New York, governing body shall mean the Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York or, to the extent provided by law, the board of education of the City School District of the City of New York and, in the case of BOCES, governing body shall mean the board of cooperative educational services.
- (aa) Traditional standardized assessment shall mean a systematic method of gathering information from objectively scored items that allow the test taker to select

one or more of the given options or choices as their response. Examples include multiple-choice, true-false, and matching items. Traditional standardized assessments are those that require the student (and not the examiner/assessor) to directly use a "bubble" answer sheet. Traditional standardized assessments do not include performance assessments or assessments in which students perform real-world tasks that demonstrate application of knowledge and skills; assessments that are otherwise required to be administered by Federal law; and/or assessments used for diagnostic or formative purposes, including but not limited to assessments used for diagnostic screening required by Education Law section 3208(5).

§30-3.3. Requirements for annual professional performance review plans submitted under this Subpart.

(a) Applicability.

(1) The governing body of each district shall adopt a plan, in a form and timeline prescribed by the commissioner, for the annual professional performance review of all of the district's classroom teachers and building principals in accordance with the requirements of Education Law section 3012-d and this Subpart and shall submit such plan to the commissioner for approval. The commissioner shall approve or reject the plan. The commissioner may reject a plan that does not rigorously adhere to the provisions of Education Law section 3012-d and the requirements of this Subpart.

Absent a finding by the Commissioner of extraordinary circumstances, if any material changes are made to the plan, the district must submit the material changes by March 1 of each school year, on a form prescribed by the commissioner, to the commissioner for

approval. The provisions of Education Law §3012-c(2)(k) shall only apply to the extent provided in this paragraph.

- (2) Such plan shall be filed in the district office, as applicable, and made available to the public on the district's web-site no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- (3) Any plan submitted to the commissioner shall include a signed certification on a form prescribed by the commissioner, by the superintendent, district superintendent or chancellor, attesting that:
- (i) the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- (ii) the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

- (b) Content of the plan. The annual professional performance review plan shall:
- (1) describe the district's process for ensuring that the department receives accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data and any other student, teacher, school, course and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with this Subpart, in a format and timeline prescribed by the commissioner. This process shall also provide an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- (2) describe how the district will report to the Department the individual scores and ratings for each subcomponent and category and overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in the district, in a format and timeline prescribed by the commissioner;
- (3) describe the assessment development, security, and scoring processes utilized by the district. Such processes shall ensure that any assessments and/or measures used to evaluate teachers and principals under this section are not disseminated to students before administration and that teachers and principals do not have a vested interest in the outcome of the assessments they score;
- (4) describe the details of the district's evaluation system, which shall include, but not be limited to, whether the district chose to use each of the optional subcomponents in the student performance and observation/school visit categories and the assessments and/or measures, if any, that are used in each subcomponent of the student performance category and the observation/school visit category and the name of the approved teacher and/or principal practice rubrics that the district uses or evidence that a variance has been granted by the Commissioner from this requirement;

- (5) describe how the district will provide timely and constructive feedback to classroom teachers and building principals on their annual professional performance review;
- (6) describe the appeal procedures that the district is using pursuant to section 30-3.12 of this section; and
 - (7) include any certifications required under this Subpart.
- The entire annual professional performance review shall be completed (c) and provided to the teacher or the principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than September 1st of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher or principal's performance is measured. The teacher's and principal's score and rating on the observation/school visit category and in the student performance category, if available, shall be computed and provided to the teacher or principal, in writing, by no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1st of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher or principal's performance is measured. Nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to authorize a teacher or principal to commence the appeal process prior to receipt of his or her overall rating. Districts shall ensure that there is a complete evaluation for all classroom teachers and building principals, which shall include scores and ratings on the subcomponent(s) of the student performance category and the observation/school visit category and the combined category scores and ratings, determined in accordance with the applicable provisions of Education Law §3012-d and this Subpart, for the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured.

- §30-3.4 Standards and criteria for conducting annual professional performance reviews of classroom teachers under Education Law §3012-d.
- (a) Annual professional performance reviews conducted under this section shall differentiate teacher effectiveness resulting in a teacher being rated Highly Effective.

 Effective, Developing or Ineffective based on multiple measures in two categories: the student performance category and the teacher observation category.
- (b) Student performance category. The student performance category shall have one mandatory subcomponent and one optional subcomponent as follows:
 - (1) Mandatory first subcomponent.
- (i) for a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model; and
- (ii) for a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or his or her designee, using a form prescribed by the commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. The SLO process determined by the

Commissioner shall include a minimum growth target of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or his or her designee. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or his or her designee, may take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history. SLOs shall include the following SLO elements, as defined by the commissioner in guidance:

- (a) student population;
- (b) learning content;
- (c)interval of instructional time;
- (d) evidence;
- (e) baseline;
- (f) target;
- (g) criteria for rating a teacher Highly Effective, Effective, Developing or Ineffective ("HEDI"); and
- (h) rationale.
- (iii) for a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where a State-provided growth measure is not determined, districts may determine whether to use SLOs based on a list of approved student assessments, or a school-or-BOCES-wide group, team, or linked results based on State/Regents assessments, as defined by the Commissioner in guidance.
- (iv) Districts shall develop back-up SLOs for all teachers whose courses end in a

 State created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model, to

use in the event that no State-provided growth score can be generated for such teachers.

- (2) Optional second subcomponent. A district may locally select a second measure that shall be applied in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across the district based on State/Regents assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments and be either:
- (i) a second State-provided growth score on a state-created or administered test; provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the required subcomponent of the student performance category, which may include one or more of the following measures:
- (a) a teacher-specific growth score computed by the State based on percentage of students who achieve a State-determined level of growth (e.g., percentage of students whose growth is above the median for similar students);
- (b) school-wide growth results based on a State-provided school-wide growth score for all students attributable to the school who took the State English language arts or math assessment in grades 4-8; or
- (c) school-wide, group, team, or linked growth results using available Stateprovided growth scores that are locally-computed; or
- (ii) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment,

 calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model. Such growth score may

 include school or BOCES –wide group, team, or linked results where the State
 approved growth model is capable of generating such a score.

- (3) All State-provided or approved growth model scores must control for poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history. For SLOs, these characteristics may be taken into account through the use of targets based on one year of "expected growth", as determined by the superintendent or his or her designee.
- (4) The district shall measure student growth using the same measure(s) of student growth for all classroom teachers in a course and/or grade level in a district.
 - (c) Weighting of Subcomponents Within Student Performance Category.
- (1) If a district does not locally select to use the optional second student growth subcomponent, then the mandatory subcomponent shall be weighted at 100%.
- (2) If the optional second student growth subcomponent is selected, then the mandatory subcomponent shall be weighted at a minimum of 50% and the optional second subcomponent shall be weighted at no more than 50%.
- (3) Each measure used in the student performance category (State provided growth score, SLOs, State-designed supplemental assessments) must result in a score between 0 and 20. The State will generate scores of 0-20 for measures using a State-provided growth score. Districts shall calculate scores for SLOs in accordance with the minimum percentages prescribed in the table below; provided however that for teachers with courses with small "n" sizes as defined by the Commissioner in guidance, districts shall calculate scores for SLOs using a methodology prescribed by the Commissioner in guidance. For all other measures that are not State-provided growth measures, scores of 0-20 shall be computed locally in accordance with the State provided or approved growth model used.

SLOs	
Percent of Students Meeting Target	Scoring Range
0-4%	0
5-8%	1
9-12%	2
13-16%	3
17-20%	4
21-24%	5
25-28%	6
29-33%	7
34-38%	8
39-43%	9
44-48%	10
49-54%	11
55-59%	12
60-66%	13
67-74%	14
75-79%	15
80-84%	16
85-89%	17
90-92%	18
93-96%	19
97-100%	20

(1) Multiple student performance measures shall be combined using a weighted average pursuant to subdivision (c) of this section to produce an overall student performance category score of 0 to 20. Based on such score, an overall student performance category rating shall be derived from the table below:

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum	
Н	18	20	
E	15	17	
D	13	14	
I	0	12	

- (2) Teacher observation category. The observation category for teachers shall be based on at least two observations; one of which must be unannounced.
 - (i) Two Mandatory subcomponents.
- (a) One observation shall be conducted by a principal or other trained administrator and;
- (b) a second observation shall be conducted by: either one or more impartial independent trained evaluator(s) selected and trained by the district or in cases where a hardship waiver is granted by the Department pursuant to subclause (1) of this clause, a second observation shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the evaluation pursuant to clause (a) of this paragraph. An independent trained evaluator may be

employed within the district, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated.

- (1) A rural school district, as defined by the Commissioner in guidance, or a school district with only one registered school pursuant to section 100.18 of the Commissioner's regulations may apply to the Department for a hardship waiver on an annual basis, in a timeframe and manner prescribed by the Commissioner, if due to the size and limited resources of the school district, it is unable to obtain an independent evaluator within a reasonable proximity without an undue burden to the school district.
- (ii) Optional third subcomponent. The observations category may include a third optional subcomponent based on classroom observations conducted by a trained peer teacher rated Effective or Highly Effective on his or her overall rating in the prior school year from the same school or from another school in the district.
- (iii) Frequency and Duration of Observations. The frequency and duration of observations shall be determined locally.
- (iv) All observations must be conducted using a teacher practice rubric approved by the commissioner pursuant to a Request for Qualification ("RFQ") process, unless the district has an approved variance from the Commissioner.
- (a) Variance for existing rubrics. A variance may be granted to a district that seeks to use a rubric that is either a close adaptation of a rubric on the approved list, or a rubric that was self-developed or developed by a third-party, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the rubric meets the criteria described in the Request for

Qualification and the district has demonstrated that it has made a significant investment in the rubric and has a history of use that would justify continuing the use of that rubric.

- (b) Variance for use of new innovative rubrics. A variance may be granted to a district that seeks to use a newly developed rubric, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the rubric meets the criteria described in the RFQ, has demonstrated how it will ensure inter-rater reliability and the rubric's ability to provide differentiated results over time.
- (v) All observations for a teacher for the school year must use the same
 approved rubric; provided that districts may locally determine whether to use different
 rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.
 - (vi) At least one of the mandatory observations must be unannounced.
- (vii) Observations may occur either live or via recorded video, as determined locally.
- (viii) Nothing in this Subpart shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education, superintendent of schools or a principal or other trained administrator to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- (ix) Observations must be based only on observable rubric subcomponents.

 The evaluator may select a limited number of observable rubric subcomponents for focus within a particular observation, so long as all observable Teaching

 Standards/Domains are addressed across the total number of annual observations.
- (x) New York State Teaching Standards/Domains that are part of the rubric but not observable during the classroom observation may be observed during any

optional pre-observation conference or post-observation review or other natural conversations between the teacher and the evaluator and incorporated into the observation score.

- (xi) Points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent (e.g., a lesson plan viewed during the course of the observation may constitute evidence of professional planning).
- (xii) Each observation shall be evaluated on a 1-4 scale based on a Stateapproved rubric aligned to the New York State Teaching Standards and an overall score
 for each observation shall be generated between 1-4. Multiple observations shall be
 combined using a weighted average pursuant to subparagraph (xiv) of this paragraph,
 producing an overall observation category score between 1-4. In the event that a
 teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all
 observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.
- (xiii) Weighting of Subcomponents Within Teacher Observation Category. The weighting of the subcomponents within the teacher observation category shall be established locally within the following constraints:
- (a) observations conducted by a principal or other trained administrator shall be weighted at a minimum of 80%.
- (b) observations conducted by independent impartial observer(s), or other evaluators selected by the district if a hardship waiver is granted, shall be weighted at a minimum of 10%.

(c) if a district selects to use the optional third observation subcomponent, then the weighting assigned to the optional observations conducted by peers shall be established locally within the constraints outlined in clause (1) and (2) of this subparagraph.

(xiv) Overall Rating on the Teacher Observation Category. The overall observation score calculated pursuant to paragraphs (xii) and (xiii) shall be converted into an overall rating, using cut scores determined locally for each rating category; provided that such cut scores shall be consistent with the permissible ranges identified below:

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Min	Max
Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
Е	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

§30-3.5 Standards and criteria for conducting annual professional performance reviews of building principals under Education Law §3012-d.

(a) Ratings. Annual professional performance reviews conducted under this section shall differentiate principal effectiveness resulting in a principal being rated Highly Effective, Effective, Developing or Ineffective based on multiple measures in the following two categories: the student performance category and the school visit category.

- (b) Student performance category. Such category shall have at least one mandatory first subcomponent and an optional second subcomponent as follows:
 - (1) Mandatory first subcomponent.
- (i) for a principal with at least 30% of his/her students covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model; and
- (ii) for a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), on a form prescribed by the commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. The SLO process determined by the Commissioner shall include a minimum growth target of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or his or her designee. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or his or her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, may take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history. SLOs shall include the following elements, as defined by the Commissioner in guidance:
 - (a) student population;
 - (b) learning content;
 - (c) interval of instructional time;

- (d) evidence;
- (e) baseline;
- (f) target;
- (g) criteria for rating a principal Highly Effective, Effective, Developing or Ineffective ("HEDI"); and
- (h) Rationale.
- (iii) for a principal of a building or program whose courses do not end in a Statecreated or administered test or where a State-provided growth score is not determined, districts shall use SLOs based on a list of State approved student assessments.
- (2) Optional second subcomponent. A district may locally select one or more other measures for the student performance category that shall be applied in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across the district based on either:
- (i) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test; provided that a different measure is used than that for the required subcomponent in the student performance category, which may include one or more of the following measures:
- (a) principal-specific growth computed by the State based on percentage of students who achieve a State-determined level of growth (e.g. percentage of students whose growth is above the median for similar students);
- (b) school-wide growth results using available State-provided growth scores that are locally-computed; or
- (ii) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model. Such growth score may

include school or BOCES –wide group, team, or linked measures where the stateapproved growth model is capable of generating such a score.

- (3) All State-provided or approved growth scores must control for poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.

 For SLOs, these characteristics may be taken into account through the use of targets based on one year of "expected growth", as determined by the superintendent or his or her designee.
- (4) The district shall measure student growth using the same measure(s) of student growth for all building principals within the same building configuration or program.
 - (c) Weighting of Subcomponents Within Student Performance Category.
- (1) If a district does not locally select to use the optional second student growth subcomponent, then the mandatory subcomponent shall be weighted at 100%.
- (2) If the optional second student growth subcomponent is selected, then the mandatory subcomponent shall be weighted at a minimum of 50% and the optional second subcomponent shall be weighted at no more than 50%.
- (3) Each measure used in the student performance category (State provided growth score, SLOs, State-designed supplemental assessments) must result in a score between 0 and 20. The State will generate scores of 0-20 for measures using a State-provided growth score. Districts shall calculate growth scores for SLOs in accordance with the minimum percentages prescribed in the table below; provided however that for principals of a building or program with small "n" sizes as defined by the Commissioner in guidance, districts shall calculate scores for SLOs using a methodology prescribed by

the Commissioner in guidance. For all other measures that are not State-provided growth measures, scores of 0-20 shall be computed locally in accordance with the State provided or approved growth model used.

SLOs	
Percent of Students Meeting Target	Scoring Range
0-4%	0
5-8%	1
9-12%	2
13-16%	3
17-20%	4
21-24%	5
25-28%	6
29-33%	7
34-38%	8
39-43%	9
44-48%	10
49-54%	11
55-59%	12
60-66%	13
67-74%	14
75-79%	15
80-84%	16
85-89%	17
90-92%	18
93-96%	19

97-100% 20

(4) Overall Rating on Student Performance Category. Multiple measures shall be combined using a weighted average, to produce an overall student performance category score of 0 to 20. Based on such score, an overall student performance category rating shall be derived from the table below:

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
Н	18	20
Е	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

- (d) Principal school visits category. The school visits category for principals shall be based on a State-approved rubric and shall include up to three subcomponents; two of which are mandatory and one of which is optional.
- (1) Two Mandatory subcomponents. A district shall evaluate a principal based on at least:
- (i) one school visit shall be based on a State-approved principal practice rubric conducted by the building principal's supervisor or other trained administrator; and
- (ii) a second school visit shall be conducted by: either one or more impartial independent trained evaluator(s) selected and trained by the district or in cases where a hardship waiver is granted by the Department pursuant to clause (a) of this

subparagraph, a second school visit shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the evaluation pursuant to subparagraph (i) of this paragraph. An independent trained evaluator may be employed within the district, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated.

- (a) A rural school district, as defined by the Commissioner in guidance, or a school district with only one registered school pursuant to section 100.18 of the Commissioner's regulations may apply to the Department for a hardship waiver on an annual basis, in a timeframe and manner prescribed by the Commissioner, if due to the size and limited resources of the school district, it is unable to obtain an independent evaluator within a reasonable proximity without an undue burden to the school district.
- (2) Optional third subcomponent. The school visit category may also include a third optional subcomponent based on school visits conducted by a trained peer administrator rated Effective or Highly Effective on his or her overall rating in the prior school year from the same or another school in the district.
- (3) Frequency and Duration of School Visits. The frequency of school visits shall be established locally.
- (4) All school visits must be conducted using a principal practice rubric approved by the Commissioner pursuant to an RFQ process, unless the district has a currently approved variance from the Commissioner.
- (i) Variance for existing rubric. A variance may be granted to a district that seeks to use a rubric that is either a close adaptation of a rubric on the approved list, or a

rubric that was self-developed or developed by a third-party, upon a finding by the

Commissioner that the rubric meets the criteria described in the RFQ, and the district

has demonstrated that it has made a significant investment in the rubric and has a

history of use that would justify continuing the use of that rubric.

- (ii) Variance for use of new innovative rubrics. A variance may be granted to a district that seeks to use a newly developed rubric, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the rubric meets the criteria described in the RFQ and the district has demonstrated how it will ensure inter-rater reliability and the rubric's ability to provide differentiated results over time.
- (5) All school visits for a principal for the year must use the same approved rubric; provided that districts may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
 - (6) At least one of the mandatory school visits must be unannounced.
 - (7) School visits may not be conducted via video.
- (8) Nothing in this Subpart shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education, superintendent of schools, or other trained administrator from conducting school visits of a principal in addition to those required under this section for non-evaluative purposes.
 - (9) School visits may be based only on observable rubric subcomponents.
- (10) The evaluator may select a limited number of observable rubric subcomponents for focus on within a particular school visit, so long as all observable ISLLC Standards are addressed across the total number of annual school visits.

- (11) Leadership Standards and their related functions that are part of the rubric but not observable during the course of the school visit may be observed through other natural conversations between the principal and the evaluator and incorporated into the observation score.
- (12) Points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of a rubric subcomponent observed during a school visit. Points shall not be allocated based on professional goal-setting; however, organizational goal-setting may be used to the extent it is evidence from the school visit and related to a component of the principal practice rubric.
- approved rubric aligned to the ISLLC standards and an overall score for each school visit shall be generated between 1-4. Multiple observations shall be combined using a weighted average, producing an overall observation category score between 1-4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned. Weighting of Subcomponents Within Principal School Visit Category. The weighting of the subcomponents within the principal school visit category shall be established locally within the following constraints:
- (i) school visits conducted by a superintendent or other trained administrator shall be weighted at a minimum of 80%.
- (ii) school visits conducted by independent impartial trained evaluators or other evaluators selected by the district if a hardship waiver is granted, shall be weighted at a minimum of 10%.

- (iii) if a district selects to use the optional third school visit subcomponent, then the weighting assigned to the optional school visits conducted by peers shall be established locally within the constraints outlined in clause (i) and (ii) of this subparagraph.
- (14) Overall Rating on the Principal School Visits Category. The overall principal school visit score shall be converted into an overall rating, using cut scores determined locally for each rating category; provided that such cut scores shall be consistent with the permissible ranges identified below:
- (15) The overall principal/school visit score shall be converted into an overall rating, using cut scores determined locally for each rating category; provided that such cut scores shall be consistent with the permissible ranges identified below:

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating				
	Min	Max			
Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0			
Е	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74			
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74			
I	0	1.49 to 1.74			

§30-3.6. Rating determination.

(a) The overall rating determination for a teacher or principal shall be determined according to a methodology as follows:

Observation/School Visit

•		<u>Highly</u>	<u>Effective</u>	<u>Developing</u>	<u>Ineffective</u>
		Effective (H)	<u>(E)</u>	<u>(D)</u>	<u>(I)</u>
Student Performance	Highly Effective (H)	Н	Н	<u>E</u>	<u>D</u>
	Effective (E)	<u>H</u>	E	<u>E</u>	<u>D</u>
	Developing (D)	<u>E</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>l</u>
	Ineffective (I)	<u>D</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>

(b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a) of this section, a teacher or principal who is rated using both subcomponents in the student performance category and receives a rating of Ineffective in such category shall be rated Ineffective overall; provided, however, that if the measure used in the second subcomponent is a State-provided growth score on a state-created or administered test, a teacher or principal who receives a rating of Ineffective in the student performance category shall not be eligible to receive a rating of Effective or Highly Effective overall;

(c) The district shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year. Such process must ensure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent. In the event that a teacher/principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned. The superintendent, district

superintendent or chancellor and the representative of the collective bargaining unit

(where one exists) shall certify in the district's plan that the evaluation process shall use
the weights and scoring ranges provided by the commissioner.

- §30-3.7. Prohibited elements. Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(7), the following elements shall no longer be eligible to be used in any evaluation subcomponent pursuant to this Subpart:
- (a) evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department;
 - (b) use of an instrument for parent or student feedback;
- (c) use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness;
- (d) any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and
- (e) any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the commissioner adopted hereunder.
 - §30-3.8. Approval process for student assessments.
- (a) Approval of student assessments for the evaluation of classroom teachers and building principals. An assessment provider who seeks to place an assessment on the list of approved student assessments under this section shall submit to the Commissioner a written application in a form and within the time prescribed by the Commissioner.

- (b) The commissioner shall evaluate a student assessment(s) for inclusion on the Department's list(s) of approved student assessments for use in the required and/or optional subcomponents of the student performance category, based on the criteria outlined in the RFQ or request for proposals ("RFP).
- (c) Termination of approval. Approval shall be withdrawn for good cause, including, but not limited to, a determination by the commissioner that:
- (1) the assessment does not comply with one or more of the criteria for approval set forth in Subpart or in the RFQ or RFP;
- (2) the Department determines that the assessment is not identifying meaningful and/or observable differences in performance levels across schools and classrooms; and/or
- (3) high quality academic research calls into question the correlation between high performance on the assessment and positive student learning outcomes.
- §30-3.9. Approval process for approved teacher and principal practice rubrics.

 (a) A provider who seeks to place a teacher or principal practice rubric on the list of approved rubrics under this section shall submit to the commissioner a written application in a form and within the time prescribed by the commissioner.
- (b) Teacher practice rubric. The commissioner shall evaluate a rubric for inclusion on the department's list of approved practice rubrics for classroom teachers pursuant to a request for qualification ("RFQ") process. Such proposals shall meet the criteria outlined by the commissioner in the RFQ process.

- (c) Principal practice rubric. The commissioner shall evaluate a rubric for inclusion on the department's list of approved practice rubrics for building principals pursuant to a request for qualification ("RFQ") process. Such proposals shall meet the criteria outlined by the commissioner in the RFQ process.
- (d) Termination of approval of a teacher or principal scoring rubric. Approval for inclusion on the department's list of approved rubrics may be withdrawn for good cause, including, but not limited to, a determination by the commissioner that the rubric:
- (1) does not comply with one or more of the criteria for approval set forth in this section or the criteria set forth in the request for qualification;
- (2) the department determines that the practice rubric is not identifying meaningful and/or observable differences in performance levels across schools and classrooms; and/or
- (3) high-quality academic research calls into question the correlation between high performance on this rubric and positive student learning outcomes.
- (e) The Department's lists of approved rubrics established pursuant to section

 30-2.7 of the Part shall continue in effect until superseded by a list generated from a

 new RFQ issued pursuant to this section or the list is abolished by the commissioner as

 unnecessary.
 - §30-3.10. Training of evaluators and lead evaluators.
- (a) The governing body of each district shall ensure that evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, have appropriate training before conducting a teacher or principal's evaluation under this section. The governing body shall also ensure that any lead evaluator has been certified by such governing

body as a qualified lead evaluator before conducting and/or completing a teacher's or principal's evaluation in accordance with the requirements of this Subpart, except as otherwise provided in this subdivision. Nothing herein shall be construed to prohibit a lead evaluator who is properly certified by the Department as a school administrator or superintendent of schools from conducting classroom observations or school visits as part of an annual professional performance review under this Subpart prior to completion of the training required by this section provided such training is successfully completed prior to completion of the evaluation.

- (b) To qualify for certification as a lead evaluator, individuals shall successfully complete a training course that meets the minimum requirements prescribed in this subdivision. The training course shall provide training on:
- (1) the New York State Teaching Standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable;
 - (2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research;
- (3) application and use of the student growth percentile model and any other growth model approved by the Department as defined in section 30-3.2 of this Subpart;
- (4) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice;
- (5) application and use of any assessment tools that the district utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals;

- (6) application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the optional subcomponent of the student performance category used by the district to evaluate its teachers or principals;
 - (7) use of the statewide instructional reporting system;
- (8) the scoring methodology utilized by the department and/or the district to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their category ratings; and
- (9) specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.
- (c) Independent evaluators and peer evaluators shall receive training on the following elements:
- (1) the New York State Teaching Standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable;
 - (2) evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research; and
- (3) application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice;
- (d) Training shall be designed to certify lead evaluators. Districts shall describe in their annual professional performance review plan the duration and nature of the

training they provide to evaluators and lead evaluators and their process for certifying lead evaluators under this section.

- (e) Districts shall also describe in their annual professional performance review plan their process for ensuring that all evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time (such as data analysis to detect disparities on the part of one or more evaluators; periodic comparisons of a lead evaluator's assessment with another evaluator's assessment of the same classroom teacher or building principal; annual calibration sessions across evaluators) and their process for periodically recertifying all evaluators.
- (f) Any individual who fails to receive required training or achieve certification or re-certification, as applicable, by a district pursuant to the requirements of this section shall not conduct or complete an evaluation under this Subpart.
 - §30-3.11. Teacher or principal improvement plans.
- (a) Upon rating a teacher or a principal as Developing or Ineffective through an annual professional performance review conducted pursuant to Education Law section 3012-d and this Subpart, a district shall formulate and commence implementation of a teacher or principal improvement plan for such teacher or principal by October 1 in the school year following the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- (b) Such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his or

 her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment and shall include, but need

 not be limited to, identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving

 improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where

appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's or principal's improvement in those areas.

§30-3.12. Appeal procedures.

- (a) An annual professional performance review plan under this Subpart shall describe the appeals procedure utilized by a district through which an evaluated teacher or principal may challenge their annual professional performance review. Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher or principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:
- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher or principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category but rated Highly Effective on the observation/school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally.
- (2) the district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d and this Subpart;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and this Subpart; and
- (4) district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan under Education Law §3012-d and this Subpart.
- (b) Appeal procedures shall provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of any appeal.

- (c) An evaluation that is the subject of an appeal shall not be sought to be offered in evidence or placed in evidence in any proceeding conducted pursuant to Education

 Law §§3020-a and 3020-b or any locally negotiated alternate disciplinary procedure until the appeal process is concluded.
- (d) Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter or diminish the authority of the governing body of a district to grant or deny tenure to or terminate probationary teachers or probationary building principals during the pendency of an appeal pursuant to this section for statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons, including the teacher's or principal's performance that is the subject of the appeal.
- (e) Nothing in this Subpart shall be construed to authorize a teacher or principal to commence the appeal process prior to receipt of his or her rating from the district.

§30-3.13. Monitoring and consequences for non-compliance.

- (a) The department will annually monitor and analyze trends and patterns in teacher and principal evaluation results and data to identify districts and/or schools where evidence suggests that a more rigorous evaluation system is needed to improve educator effectiveness and student learning outcomes. The department will analyze data submitted pursuant to this Subpart to identify:
- (1) schools or districts with unacceptably low correlation results between student growth on the student performance category and the teacher observation/principal school visit category used by the district to evaluate its teachers and principals; and/or
- (2) schools or districts whose teacher and principal overall ratings and subcomponent scores and/or ratings show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement

results; and/or schools or districts that show a pattern of anomalous results in the student performance and observation/school visits categories.

- (b) A district identified by the department in one of the categories enumerated above may be highlighted in public reports and/or the commissioner may order a corrective action plan, which may include, but not be limited to, a timeframe for the district to address any deficiencies or the plan will be rejected by the Commissioner, changes to the district's target setting process, a requirement that the district arrange for additional professional development, that the district provide additional in-service training and/or utilize independent trained evaluators to review the efficacy of the evaluation system.
- (c) Corrective action plans may require changes to a collective bargaining agreement.
- §30-3.14. Prohibition against Student Being Instructed by Two Consecutive Ineffective Teachers.
- (a) A student may not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, in the same subject by any two teachers in the same district, each of whom received a rating of Ineffective under an evaluation conducted pursuant to this section in the school year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom; provided, that if a district deems it impracticable to comply with this subdivision, the district shall seek a teacher-specific waiver from the department from such requirement, on a form and timeframe prescribed the commissioner.
- (b) If a district assigns a student to a teacher rated Ineffective in the same subject for two consecutive years, the district must seek a waiver from this requirement for the

specific teacher in question. The commissioner may grant a waiver from this requirement if:

- (1) the district cannot make alternative arrangements and/or reassign a teacher to another grade/subject because a hardship exists (for example, too few teachers with higher ratings are qualified to teach such subject in that district); and
- (2) the district has an improvement and/or removal plan in place for the teacher at issue that meets certain guidelines prescribed by the commissioner.
- §30-3.15. Applicability of the provisions in Education Law §3012-c. The provisions of Education Law §3012-c shall apply to annual professional performance reviews pursuant to this Subpart as follows:
- (a) the provisions of paragraphs (d) and (k) of subdivision (2), subdivision (4), subdivision (5) and subdivision (9) of Education Law §3012-c that apply are set forth in the applicable language of this Subpart;
- (b) the provisions of paragraphs (k-1), (k-2) and (l) of subdivision (2) of Education Law §3012-c shall apply without any modification;
- (c) the provisions of subdivision (5-a) of Education Law §3012-c shall apply without modification except:
- (1) Any reference in subdivision (5-a) to a proceeding pursuant to Education

 Law §3020-a based on a pattern of ineffective teaching shall be deemed to be a

 reference to a proceeding pursuant to Education Law §3020-b against a teacher or

 principal who receives two or more consecutive composite Ineffective ratings; and

 in accordance with Education Law §3020(3) and (4)(a), notwithstanding any

 inconsistent language in subdivision (5-a), any alternate disciplinary procedures

contained in a collective bargaining agreement that becomes effective on or after July 1, 2015 shall provide that two consecutive Ineffective ratings pursuant to annual professional performance reviews conducted in accordance with the provisions of Education Law §3012-c or 3012-d shall constitute prima facie evidence of incompetence that can only be overcome by clear and convincing evidence that the employee is not incompetent in light of all surrounding circumstances, and if not successfully overcome, the finding, absent extraordinary circumstances, shall be just cause for removal, and that three consecutive Ineffective ratings pursuant to annual professional performance reviews conducted in accordance with the provisions of Education Law §3012-c or 3012-d shall constitute prima facie evidence of incompetence that can only be overcome by clear and convincing evidence that the calculation of one or more of the teacher's or principal's underlying components on the annual professional performance reviews pursuant to Education Law §3012-c or 3012-d was fraudulent, and if not successfully overcome, the finding, absent extraordinary circumstances, shall be just cause for removal.

- (d) the provisions of subdivision (10) of Education Law §3012-c shall apply without modification, except that there is no composite effectiveness score under Education Law §3012-d.
- §30-3.16. Challenges to State-Provided Growth Scores.
- (a) A teacher/principal shall have the right to challenge their State-provided growth score under this Subpart; provided that the teacher/principal provides sufficient documentation that he/she meets at least one of the following criteria in their annual evaluation:

- (1) a teacher/principal was rated Ineffective on his/her State-provided growth

 score and Highly Effective on the Observation/School Visit category in the current year

 and was rated either Effective or Highly Effective on his/her State-provided growth score
 in the previous year; or
- (2) a high school principal of a building that includes at least all of grades 9-12, was rated Ineffective on the State-provided growth score but such percent of students as shall be established by the Commissioner in his/her school/program within four years of first entry into grade 9 received results on department-approved alternative examinations in English Language Arts and/or or mathematics as described in section 100.2(f) of this Title (including, but not limited to, advanced placement examinations, and/or International Baccalaureate examinations, SAT II, etc.) scored at proficiency (i.e., a Level 3 or higher).
- (b) A teacher/principal shall submit an appeal to the Department, in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner, within 20 days of receipt of his/her overall annual professional performance review rating or the effective date of this section, whichever is later, and submit a copy of the appeal to the school district and/or BOCES. The school district and/or BOCES shall have ten days from receipt of a copy of such appeal to submit a reply to the Department.
- (c) Based on the documentation received, if the Department overturns a teacher's/principal's rating on the State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES shall substitute the teacher's/principal's results on the back-up SLO developed by the district/BOCES for such teacher/principal. If a back-up SLO was not developed, then the teacher's/principal's overall composite score and rating shall be based on the

of the State-provided growth score. Provided, however, that following a successful appeal under paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of this section, if a back-up SLO is used a teacher/principal shall not receive a score/rating higher than developing on such SLO.

- (d) An evaluation that is the subject of an appeal shall not be sought to be offered in evidence or placed in evidence in any proceeding conducted pursuant to Education

 Law sections 3020-a and 3020-b or any locally negotiated alternate disciplinary procedure until the appeal process is concluded.
- (e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter or diminish the authority of the governing body of a district to grant or deny tenure to or terminate probationary teachers or probationary building principals during the pendency of an appeal pursuant to this section for statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons, including the teacher's/principal's performance that is the subject of the appeal.
- (f) Nothing in this Subpart shall be construed to authorize a teacher/principal to commence the appeal process prior to receipt of his/her overall rating from the district/BOCES.
- (g) During the pendency of an appeal under this section, nothing shall be construed to alter the obligation of a school district/BOCES to develop and implement a teacher improvement plan or principal improvement plan during the pendency of an appeal.
- (h) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit any rights of a teacher/principal under section 30-2.11 of this Subpart.

- (i) Notwithstanding any other provision of rule or regulation to the contrary, a high school principal of a building that includes at least all of grades 9-12 who meets either of the criteria in paragraphs (1) or (2) of this subdivision shall not receive a State-provided growth score and shall instead use back-up SLOs:
- (1) the principal would be rated Ineffective or Developing on the State-provided growth score but the graduation rate of the students in that school building exceeded 90%, and the proportion of the student population included in either the ELA Regents Median Growth Percentile or the Algebra Regents Median Growth Percentile was less than ten percent of the total enrollment for the school; or the principal
- (2) has no Combined Median Growth Percentile rating or score, and the proportion of the student population included in the ELA Regents

 Median Growth Percentile and Algebra Regents Median Growth Percentile was less than five percent of the total enrollment for the school in one subject, and less than ten percent of the total enrollment in the other subject.
- (3) If a back-up SLO was not developed, then the principal's overall composite score and rating shall be based on the remaining portions of their annual professional performance review.